

children's levy

Allocation Committee Meeting Sept. 14, 2020



Small Grants Fund

Background

 Purpose of the Small Grants Fund: To increase equity by investing in programs of small organizations arising from marginalized communities...



Step 1 Process

- February-March 2020 Allocation Committee approved participatory process. PCL staff held 8 community conversations Convened Ad-hoc Design Team to advise/design process
- April 24th, 2020 Allocation Committee approved all Step 1 forms, empowered Review Team to decide who moves from Step 1 to Step 2
- Step 1 Grant Cycle Open May 15th-July 8th, 2020



Step 1 Review Process

- 31 applications received, requesting **\$ 4,414,500**.
- Applications were reviewed by a team of 6 Design Team members and 6 people recruited from the community.
- Applications moved from Step 1 to Step 2 based on score, and additional criteria.
- Criteria included:
 - Organizational type
 - Staff & volunteer demographics
 - Grant size
 - Program area



Step 1 Results

- 12 applications of 31 were selected for Step 2.
- Total 3-year request of 12 applicants moving forward \$1.7 million; Small Grants Fund has \$1 million to grant.
- 24 applicants proposed either Mentoring or After School programs; 9 of those moving forward.
- 2 of 3 Hunger Relief applications moving to Step 2.
- Remaining 4 applications in other program areas;
 1 moving forward is for Child Abuse Prevention & Intervention.



Applicants Moving to Step 2

App#	Organization Name	Score	Funding Request
8	Brown Girl Rise	57.8	\$ 58,500
14	Elevate Oregon	57.8	\$ 180,000
16	Portland Tennis and Education	56.3	\$180,000
11	Camp Elso	55.3	\$180,000
20	Native Wellness Institute	55.3	\$180,000
22	Urban Nature Partners PDX	55.3	\$51,000
19	African Youth Community Organization	55.3	\$180,000
7	Black United Fund	55.0	\$180,000
13	Urban Gleaners	53.5	\$ 180,000
1	Grow Portland	51.5	\$60,000
18	Ethiopian and Eritrean Resource Cultural Center	46.8	\$180,000
5	Alif Baa Arabic Education School	43.3	\$150,000



Step 2 Process Recommendation

- Step 2 Application: Due October 2, 2020
- Virtual interviews (audio &video): October
- Review Team Funding Recommendations for Allocation Committee: November 10th
- AC Approval: Allocation Committee approves final slate for funding in late November
- City Council approval
- Grants begin Jan. 1, 2021





Preliminary Report Results of Funding Round 2019-20

Introduction

- Preliminary Report
- 3 data sources: applications, reviewer survey, applicant survey
- Did process changes move PCL in the direction recommended by Community Engagement and Grantmaking Improvement reports?



Planning & Process

- Local data review by staff
- Community Engagement process: 9 months, 500 participants surveyed, 8 focus groups
- PSU's Center for Improvement of Child & Family Services: grantmaking process review
- Overall, recommendations focused on ways to increase equity & transparency in process
- Additional recommendation to create Small Grants Fund to improve access



Process Changes

RFI redesign: applicant questions and scoring criteria focus on equity: organizational commitment, staffing, practices, programming

Transparent, ongoing support to applicant: website FAQ, weekly Q&A email digest to applicants; updates about timeline and steps

Community volunteer reviewer outreach, screening, experience in PCL program areas and equity practices, training and individual check-ins, providing stipends

Revamp applicant testimony process to include written and video options. Provided applicants with staff's funding recommendations and reviewers scores sheets prior to testimony and funding decision meetings.



Continued, Expanded, New Funding

	Total Number of Funded Grants	То	tal Awarded 3-year	% of Total Awarded
Continuing Funding, No Expansion	45	\$	38,232,907	55.9%
Continuing Funding Plus Expansion	18	\$	18,385,794	26.9%
New Programs	22	\$	11,780,900	17.2%
TOTAL	85	\$	68,399,601	



Program Area Allocations; 3-year

Program Area	Planned % Allocation	Final % Allocation	Total Funds Requested	Final \$ Allocation
Early Childhood	30.7%	30.5%	\$ 23,399,258	\$ 20,889,002
Child Abuse Prev. & Intervention	19.8%	18.0%	\$ 18,622,999	\$ 12,291,411
Foster Care	10.8%	12.5%	\$ 26,625,913	\$ 8,520,021
After-School	18.8%	18.5%	\$ 18,474,274	\$ 12,653,161
Hunger Relief	10.9%	10.7%	\$ 9,136,051	\$ 7,307,975
Mentoring	8.9%	9.8%	\$ 17,913,565	\$ 6,738,601
Total	100%	100%	\$114,172,060	\$68,399,601



Organizations: Staff Demographics

Organization Staff Demographics	Number of Organizations	3-year Award Totals		As % of Total Funds Granted
Majority BIPOC Staff	19	\$	34,272,245	50.1%
Majority White Staff	22	\$	28,105,910	41.1%
Insufficient data	3	\$	6,021,446	8.8%
TOTAL	44	\$	68,399,601	



Average Scores on Applications

	Average Total Score (of 100)	Average Sec. I Score (of 36)	Average Sec. II Score (of 54)
All Applications	85.0	29.7	46.0
Funded	87.6	30.8	47.4
Unfunded	77.9	26.7	42.2

Section I Organizational Commitment to Equity, Diversity & Inclusion Section II Proven Program Design & Effectiveness Section III addressed Program Budget & Justification



Reviewer Feedback

- 54/63 reviewers responded to anonymous online survey sent by PCL
- 26 (48%) identified as BIPOC, 24 as white, 2 no data
- All indicated positive experience being reviewer; other positive feedback on likert scale questions.
- 38 indicated multiple choice responses for areas needing improvement



Applicant Feedback

- 44 of 131 (35%) of individuals responded to online survey from PCL.
- Anonymous; cannot determine how many applicants represented. Caution interpreting results.
- Satisfaction with RFI, Q & A process, staff communication during process, receiving staff recommendations & score sheets before testimony.
- Dissatisfaction with process & rationale used by Allocation Committee in decisions, and staff's rationale for recommendations.



Conclusion

- Data suggest process changes helped address equity issues.
- Funds increasingly to organizations with staff that reflect demographics of youth/families served.
- Reviewers & applicants satisfied with several equityinformed features of process. Reviewers gave feedback to continue strengthening features.
- After Small Grants process complete, use lessons learned both funding efforts to recommend future changes



Program Adaptations: COVID 19

- Virtual service delivery for home visiting, case management and mentoring, individual tutoring and academic support and group activities/classes.
- Emergency food distribution using no contact methods (pick up and home delivery).
- Changes to types of services and supports offered to meet different needs.
- Enrollment of new clients/families significantly impacted by emergency conditions.

