## PORTLAND CHILDREN'S LEVY

**Compliance Examination** 

\_\_\_\_

For the year ended June 30, 2010

#### MCDONALD JACOBS

Jake Jacobs, CPA

Susan J. Marks, CPA Portland Children's Levy Portland, Oregon Mark A. Clift, CPA Karin S. Wandtke, CPA Sang Ahn, CPA

Jill Oswald Principal

Dennis C. Johnson, CPA of counsel

James R. McDonald, CPA of counsel

# INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT

To the Allocation Committee

We have examined the Portland Children's Levy's compliance with laws and regulations outlined in Measure 26-94, the five-year levy renewed by voters in November 2008, for the year ended June 30, 2010. Management is responsible for Portland Children's Levy's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Portland Children's Levy's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about Portland Children's Levy's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on Portland Children's Levy's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, Portland Children's Levy complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the year ended June 30, 2010.

A summary of procedures performed, findings, and recommendations is attached.

McDonald Jacobr, P.C.

December 1, 2010

Strength in Numbers

### 1. OVERVIEW OF PORTLAND CHILDREN'S LEVY

In 2008, Portland voters passed Measure 26-94, renewing the Portland Children's Levy (PCL) for five years. The Levy is funded through property tax assessments to provide approximately \$60 million over five years to support programs for children.

The key requirements of Measure 26-94 are as follows:

Funds can only be used for:

- **Early childhood programs,** which provide early childhood education and intervention, parenting education, and access to immunizations and health screenings so children enter kindergarten ready to succeed.
- After school and mentoring programs, which promote academic achievement, provide role models, and increase children's engagement in school.
- Child abuse prevention and intervention programs, which provide services to reach children affected by family violence, parental drug and alcohol use, and homelessness, and to support families most at risk for abuse and neglect.
- Foster care programs, which improve the lives of children in foster care.

Accountability measures include:

- Programs funded must be cost effective and have a proven record of success (not tested as part of this compliance examination).
- Investment fund is subject to oversight by a citizen committee.
- Investment fund is subject to annual compliance audits (examinations).
- Administrative costs cannot exceed 5% of cumulative tax revenues.

### 2. PROCEDURES PERFORMED AND FINDINGS

- a. We gained an understanding of the organizational philosophy, objectives and policies for operating PCL, as well as significant regulatory and accounting matters.
- b. We reviewed governing and other key documents including the ballot language, requests for investment (grants), grant agreements, and external contracts.

### c. <u>Administrative Expenses - Overview</u>

All administrative invoices are reviewed by PCL's Fiscal and Administrative Specialist and approved by PCL's Director. Bids are obtained for expenses that will exceed \$5,000 and the contracts are approved by Portland City Commissioner Dan Saltzman, Chair of the Allocation Committee for the fund. Once approved, administrative expenses are entered into the City's accounting system (SAP). The City's accounting department issues disbursement checks for these expenses. The checks are reviewed and mailed by the Office of the Auditor. The City's accounting system provides real-time reporting of revenue and expense.

### Tests of Compliance

We tested compliance, including whether administrative expenses were less than 5% of cumulative tax revenues and that administrative expenses were ordinary, necessary and approved.

Specifically:

- We examined support for selected personnel and administrative expenses incurred by PCL and compared these to reports obtained from the City's accounting system.
- We performed analytical procedures for payroll and related expenses and agreed totals for the year per PCL's records to the City's accounting system without exception.
- We noted that for expenses selected for testing, administrative disbursements were recorded in the proper period and supported by invoices and/or purchase orders.
- We noted that for expenses selected for testing, services provided over \$5,000 were supported by approved contracts.
- We noted consistency in documentation of the approval process for administrative expenses tested. We noted that these expenses were ordinary and necessary expenses.

### 2. PROCEDURES PERFORMED AND FINDINGS, Continued

- We calculated the maximum administrative expenses allowed (5% of cumulative tax revenues) and determined that PCL's administrative expenses were below this amount.
- d. Grants Overview

Grants are approved by the Allocation Committee. Once approved, PCL obtains copies of the grantee agreement, including a budget with agreed-upon amounts for annual awards. PCL staff review and approve grantee requests for advances and reimbursements after comparing grantee requests with approved budgets. The grant manager approves the expenditure and the check request is sent to the City's accounting department for processing. The Office of the Auditor reviews payment requests, and prepares and mails the checks to grantees.

### Tests of Compliance

We randomly selected 25 grants and performed the following procedures for each grant agreement:

- We compared the grant document to the request for investment (grant).
- We reviewed the signed grant contract, noting proper approval.
- We noted approval for the grant budget.
- We noted approval for the grant advances and reimbursements.
- We compared grant reimbursements to approved budgets.
- We noted whether grantee expenses for administration agreed to the grant agreement and approved budget.
- We examined support for grant disbursements maintained by PCL.
- We examined the files to determine if progress reports and other documents required to be received by PCL had been received.

We found no discrepancies in the documents we examined.

### 3. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Internal Controls

We gained an understanding of internal controls, including those over recording grant payments, grantee reporting, recordkeeping over grants, approval of disbursements to grantees, administrative budgeting, and reimbursement of administrative expenses, grantee reporting, and reimbursement.

### 3. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, Continued

For expenditures selected for compliance testing, we also tested internal controls over compliance. No exceptions were noted. The current system for processing payments appears to provide adequate control to ensure that disbursements are authorized and allowable. Overall, we found grant files, accounting records, and supporting documentation complete and readily available for examination. Also, having PCL's payroll, administrative, and grant disbursements processed by other City Departments provides segregation of duties and controls that would otherwise be difficult to institute in a small organization.

PCL has established internal controls based on all granting activity being processed and monitored by PCL staff. The current policies and procedures in place address the entire granting process from investment though monitoring. PCL staff have developed forms and worksheets to support the procedures and to reconcile grant activity on an ongoing basis. We noted that for the files examined, each grantee's activity appears to be up-to-date and in agreement with the approved investments and budgets.

We are pleased to report that we have no recommendations for improvements in internal control.

### 4. DOCUMENTS EXAMINED

The following documents were examined as part of the compliance examination:

General:

- Ballot language for the Children's Levy
- Internal control memo prepared by PCL staff
- PCL Grant Accounting Procedures document
- City of Portland SAP Fund 216000 Budget to Actual report for the period ended June 30, 2010.

## 4. DOCUMENTS EXAMINED, Continued

<u>Administrative – Tests of Compliance</u> Sample size: 25 disbursements Documents examined:

- Disbursement log prepared by PCL staff
- Invoices/purchase orders/receipts
- Contracts, where applicable
- Internal PCL budget for the period ended June 30, 2010
- Time sheets for months of August 2009 and April 2010

### Grants - Tests of Compliance

Sample size: 25 grants Documents examined for each grant selected:

- Request for investment/Application
- Signed contract
- Approved budget
- Approved advances or reimbursements
- Quarterly progress reports
- Annual outcome reports, where applicable
- Submitted audited financial statements, where applicable